

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/838,348

Applicant(s)

OJANEN

Examiner

Sunil Singh

Art Unit

3673

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sunil Singh.

(3) Randall W Ojanen.

(2) Stephen T Belsheim.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10/20/04.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: retainer sleeve with dimples protruding 15-30% the thickness of the retainer

Claim(s) discussed: 15, 29, 39, 40, 43

Identification of prior art discussed: DeKs et al. (3752515); Radd et al. (380150)

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant to respond to clarify language of "no radial play" on page 11 of specification. Applicant to respond with regards to 15-30% of the protruding dimples thickness in relation to the prior art.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Sunil Singh
Examiner's signature, if required